

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/669,021	ASAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kwasi Karikari	2617	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kwasi Karikari.

(2) Thomas J. Frame.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 January 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

1-5 and 7-28

**Claims discussed:**

23-28

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Jonsson et al. (U.S. 20020146000 A1)*


**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed to amend claim 23 as "A computer readable medium comprising a code for compressing data such that when the code is executed is operable to....next destination"*


**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**Rafael Perez-Gutierrez**  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner  
 Technology Center 2600  
 Art Unit 2617

1/7/08



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)